REVIEW



Open Access

Mitophagy and cancer

Aparajita H Chourasia^{1,2}, Michelle L Boland^{1,3} and Kay F Macleod^{1,2,3,4*}

Abstract

Mitophagy is a selective form of macro-autophagy in which mitochondria are selectively targeted for degradation in autophagolysosomes. Mitophagy can have the beneficial effect of eliminating old and/or damaged mitochondria, thus maintaining the integrity of the mitochondrial pool. However, mitophagy is not only limited to the turnover of dysfunctional mitochondria but also promotes reduction of overall mitochondrial mass in response to certain stresses, such as hypoxia and nutrient starvation. This prevents generation of reactive oxygen species and conserves valuable nutrients (such as oxygen) from being consumed inefficiently, thereby promoting cellular survival under conditions of energetic stress. The failure to properly modulate mitochondrial turnover in response to oncogenic stresses has been implicated both positively and negatively in tumorigenesis, while the potential of targeting mitophagy specifically as opposed to autophagy in general as a therapeutic strategy remains to be explored. The challenges and opportunities that come with our heightened understanding of the role of mitophagy in cancer are reviewed here.

Keywords: Mitophagy, Autophagosomes, Parkin, BNIP3, NIX, Mitochondrial dysfunction

Mitophagy: the major players

Macro-autophagy (henceforth referred to as autophagy) is a highly conserved self-degradative process by which cytosolic constituents, including organelles, protein aggregates, and pathogens are captured by nascent phagophore membranes and degraded through fusion of the resulting autophagosomes with lysosomes [1,2]. As such, autophagy plays an important housekeeping function for the cell in getting rid of large and potentially toxic structures [1,3-6]. Autophagy also plays a critical role in recycling the breakdown products generated in the form of amino acids, nucleic acids, fatty acids, and ATP that are released by the lysosome and used in the cell to maintain metabolism, growth, and survival under conditions of nutrient deprivation [7].

There are bulk degradative forms of autophagy that are largely non-selective for cytosolic cargo, as well as targeted autophagy that selectively engulfs and degrades specific cargoes [8-13]. Mitophagy is a classic example of the latter that involves the selective targeting of mitochondria for degradation at the autophagosome through interactions of key adaptor molecules at the outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) with processed LC3 (or related molecules) at the growing phagophore membrane [8,14-16]. These adaptor molecules include BNIP3, NIX, and FUNDC1 in addition to mitochondrial targets of E3 ubiquitin ligases functioning at the mitochondria, such as Parkin and Mul1, as will be discussed below.

Mitophagy promotes turnover of dysfunctional mitochondria that would otherwise damage the cell, but how the cell distinguishes between functional and nonfunctional mitochondria is not entirely elucidated. Loss of mitochondrial membrane potential and mitochondrial fragmentation precede mitophagy [17-19], suggesting that this plays a role in their selective uptake by autophagosomes. Indeed, mitochondrial depolarization plays a direct role in activating Parkin-dependent mitophagy by inducing PINK1 kinase stabilization at the OMM [20-22]. Mitochondrial membrane depolarization also induces proteolytic cleavage and degradation of the fusion protein Opa-1 thereby reducing the size of mitochondria, a consequence that is likely to favor uptake of mitochondria by phagophore membranes while also linking mitochondrial turnover to loss of function [23,24]. Conversely, mitochondrial fusion protects healthy respiring mitochondria from degradation, a mechanism that is promoted by protein kinase A (PKA)-mediated inhibition



© 2015 Chourasia et al.; licensee BioMed Central. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: kmacleod@uchicago.edu

¹The Ben May Department for Cancer Research, The University of Chicago, 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

²The Committee on Cancer Biology, The University of Chicago, 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

of the fission protein Drp-1 in response to nutrient deprivation, for example [18,19].

The accumulation of dysfunctional mitochondria with time contributes to the aging process likely due to accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-induced mtDNA mutations in line with the 'free radical theory of aging' since mouse life span can be increased and age-related phenotypes can be ameliorated through over-expression of mitochondrial catalase [25,26]. However, mitophagy also plays a key role in reducing mitochondrial mass in the acute response to certain stresses, such as hypoxia and nutrient deprivation [16,27-29]. This involves the turnover of otherwise healthy mitochondria, but it is not clear to what extent healthy mitochondria are rendered dysfunctional by stress-induced signaling molecules and if this requires the active involvement of some or all of these signaling molecules in mitochondrial membrane depolarization and fragmentation of healthy mitochondria.

Dissection of the functions of some of the regulators and molecular adaptors involved in targeting mitochondria to the autophagosome has increased our understanding of how mitophagy is initiated and executed. The most extensively characterized of these mitophagy regulators are Parkin and Pink1, as well as BNIP3 and NIX that play distinct and non-overlapping activities to promote mitophagy [30-32]. While this current cast of mitophagyspecific modulators is rather limited, it is clear that additional players (such as Mul1 and FUNDC1) are emerging and likely to be the focus of future studies. Here, we first review current knowledge of molecular regulators of mitophagy with recognized roles in tumorigenesis.

Parkin and PINK1

The PARK2 (Parkin) and PARK6 (PINK1) gene products were originally identified as mutated in human Parkinson's disease (PD) and subsequently shown to function in concert to promote mitophagy, thus implicating dysfunctional mitochondria in the etiology of PD [15]. PARK2 (Parkin) maps to a common fragile site at human chromosome 6q25-q26 that is frequently deleted in ovarian, breast, bladder, lung, and other cancers [33,34]. Consistent with a tumor suppressor function for Parkin, parkin null mice are susceptible to spontaneous liver tumors [35] that may be linked to functions of Parkin in lipid metabolism in the liver [36]. Parkin null mice are also sensitized to irradiation-induced lymphomagenesis [37]. Parkin expression increased oxidative metabolism and limited the Warburg effect downstream of the p53 tumor suppressor, most likely by enhancing mitochondrial integrity, possibly explaining the tumor suppressive activity of Parkin [37]. As a component of the FBX4 Cullin-ring ligase complex, Parkin has also been shown to regulate levels of Cyclin D1, Cyclin E, and CDK4 in cancers [34], suggesting that in addition to its role in mitophagy, Parkin may also elicit its tumor suppressor functions through inhibition of the cell cycle.

The localization of the Parkin E3 ubiquitin ligase to the mitochondria is regulated by the PINK1 (PTEN-induced putative kinase 1) serine/threonine kinase that undergoes voltage-dependent import leading to proteolysis at the inner mitochondrial membrane in healthy mitochondria but accumulates at the outer mitochondrial membrane in response to mitochondrial depolarization [20,21,22,38] (Figure 1). PINK1 phosphorylates Parkin directly but mutation of all serine and threonine residues in Parkin did not block its translocation to the mitochondria [39], and recent evidence shows that PINK1 phosphorylation of ubiquitin on serine 65 is required to recruit Parkin to mitochondria [39,40]. A large number of mitochondrial proteins have been identified as Parkin substrates at the OMM, including Vdac1, Miro, and Mfn-2 [15,41-43], and indeed systematic identification of all Parkin substrates indicates that the mitochondrial proteome is markedly altered by Parkin activity [43]. Specific targets such as Mfn-2 are phosphorylated by PINK1 at the OMM, and Mfn-2 has been shown to selectively recruit Parkin to damaged mitochondria [44]. However, the wide range of mitochondrial substrates that are ubiquitinated and then phosphorylated by PINK1 suggests that Mfn-2 may be only one of many receptors for Parkin at the mitochondria [43,39]. Furthermore, targeting of mitochondrial substrates by Parkin is highly dynamic [43] with the role of mitochondrial deubiquitinases such as USP30 in antagonizing Parkin-dependent mitophagy recently emerging [45] and suggesting that additional signaling inputs modulate Parkin's role in mitophagy in response to stress.

Once ubiquitinated by Parkin, some of these substrates (such as ubiquitinated Vdac1) create a docking site for the LC3 interacting proteins p62/SQSTM1 and NBR-1 [46-48], allowing for selective Parkin-dependent degradation of mitochondria at the autophagosome (Figure 1). Recruitment of Parkin to depolarized membranes is inhibited by the anti-apoptotic Bcl-X_L, Mcl-1, and Bcl-W proteins in a Beclin-independent manner, although not by Bcl-2 itself [32]. Inhibition of mitophagy by Bcl-X_L, Mcl-1, and Bcl-W involved their direct interaction with Parkin, blocking the interaction of Parkin with PINK1 and thus preventing the Parkin-dependent ubiquitination of mitochondrial targets [32]. Conversely, the pro-apoptotic BH3 proteins Puma, Noxa, Bim, and Bad, but not the noncanonical BH3 proteins BNIP3, Nix, or Beclin1, all promoted Parkin translocation to mitochondria, possibly by reducing the interaction of Parkin with the aforementioned Bcl-2-related molecules [32].

Alternative models to explain the role of Parkin in mitophagy have also been proposed in which Parkin acts much more indirectly. This speculation about how Parkin



promotes mitophagy has arisen due to the growing appreciation that no single Parkin substrate is essential for mitophagy [49] and that several Parkin substrates are degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome system independent of autophagy [42]. One particularly intriguing alternative explanation for the function of Parkin in mitophagy emerges from evidence that targeted proteasomal degradation of Parkin substrates imbalances the ratio of mitochondrial to nuclear encoded proteins at the mitochondria, resulting in the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR^{mt}) [50]. The UPR^{mt} renders mitochondria dysfunctional and activates stress signaling that can result in mitophagy [16]. Alternatively, Parkin may promote mitophagy indirectly by inhibiting fusion (as a result of Mfn-1/Mfn-2 degradation) or by promoting degradation of an unknown mitophagy inhibitor at the mitochondria [15,42].

Regulation of mitochondrial transport along microtubules (MTs) is another key consequence of Parkin recruitment to mitochondria [22,49]. This is achieved through Parkin-mediated turnover of Miro, a protein that tethers MT-associated kinesin motor protein complexes to the OMM [41] and through Parkin-dependent recruitment of HDAC6 (a ubiquitin-binding protein deacetylase) that also promotes trafficking of mitochondria along MTs [46,51]. Clearly, regulation of mitochondrial trafficking by both Miro and HDAC6 is likely to be important for successful targeting of mitochondria to autophagosomes but again points to a more complex role for Parkin in mitophagy than was initially envisioned. Finally, Parkin has nonmitochondrial substrates that influence mitochondrial mass in cells, such as the PARIS transcriptional regulator that represses PGC-1a expression to inhibit mitochondrial biogenesis [52].

BNIP3 and NIX

Mitophagy has emerged as a key adaptive response to hypoxia, as cells attempt to reduce their mitochondrial mass to not only limit ROS production but also maximize the efficient use of available oxygen [16]. Two key molecular mediators implicated in promoting hypoxia-induced mitophagy are BNIP3 and NIX (also known as BNIP3L) [31,48,53]. Both are target genes of the hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) [54,55] although BNIP3 is more rapidly induced and to higher levels than NIX as oxygen levels drop due to the differential dependence of BNIP3 and NIX mRNA expression on the two transactivation domains in HIF-1 α [56-58]. BNIP3 is also transcriptionally regulated by RB/E2Fs [28], NF-KB [59], FoxO3 [60], oncogenic Ras [61,62], and p53 [63], while NIX is regulated by p53 [64]. They both also exhibit distinct tissue-specific patterns of expression with BNIP3 most strongly expressed in the heart, liver, and muscle while NIX is expressed strongly in hematopoietic tissues and testes [65,66]. Consistently, NIX plays a key developmental role in red blood cell maturation promoting mitochondrial clearance from maturing reticulocytes [67,68], while BNIP3 is involved in modulating mitochondrial integrity in the skeletal muscle and liver [60,66].

BNIP3 and NIX integrate into the OMM as redoxresistant homo-dimers with a short 10 to 11 amino acid carboxy terminal tail in the intermembrane space and a proximal 23 amino acid transmembrane domain containing a critical glycine zipper that is required for both dimerization and membrane integration [69-71]. The remaining amino terminal portion of both BNIP3 and NIX protrudes out into the cytosol where both BNIP3 and NIX interact with LC3-related molecules at associated phagophore membranes [72,73] (Figure 2A). The direct



and mitochondrial fusion (Opa-1). These interaction (V), ships had be decadated in the interactive interactive interaction (Opp-1) and mitochondrial fusion (Opa-1). These interactions are positive and negative, respectively, resulting in a role for BNIP3 in promoting fission while inhibiting fusion (**B**). BNIP3 has also been shown to interact with the small GTPase, Rheb, resulting in reduced Rheb activity, reduced mTOR activity, and reduced cell growth (**C**). This function for BNIP3 in modulating Rheb (**C**) contrasts with the proposed functional interaction of NIX with Rheb (**D**) that elicits a mTOR-independent effect on mitophagy by promoting LC3 processing and increased mitochondrial turnover in cells grown on oxidative substrates (**D**). NIX is required for recruitment of Rheb to mitochondria and its activating effect on mitophagy.

interaction of BNIP3 and NIX with processed LC3B-II or GABARAP is dependent on a LC3-interacting region (LIR) located within an unstructured amino terminal region of each protein (amino acids 15 to 21 in BNIP3 and 43 to 49 in NIX) [72-74], and thus, similar to ATG32 in yeast [75,76], BNIP3 and NIX function to target mitochondria directly to the autophagosome for degradation. Binding of BNIP3 to LC3 is regulated by phosphorylation on serine residues adjacent to the LIR motif, but the identity of the kinases responsible is not known [77]. It remains to be determined to what extent other events, such as elevated ROS, membrane depolarization, or indeed altered electron flux at the respiratory chain, modulate the BNIP3/NIX structure to induce interactions with LC3 or other proteins involved in mitophagy.

Expression of both BNIP3 and NIX has been linked to non-apoptotic cell death in response to various stresses, and both proteins used to be categorized as BH3-only proteins [31]. However, more recent work has shown that the BH3 domain in both BNIP3 and NIX is weakly conserved and redundant for function [78,79]. Furthermore, various normal tissues express these proteins at high levels without inducing cell death [65,66], and thus, additional signals that either modify or disrupt BNIP3/ NIX function are likely required for these proteins to induce cell death [29,80]. Thus, although linked to nonapoptotic cell death in early publications, the growing consensus is that BNIP3 and NIX function normally as mitochondria-specific receptors/cargo adaptors targeting mitochondria for degradation by autophagy and that it is disruption or inhibition of their function that leads to non-apoptotic cell death, although key aspects of this perspective remain to be formally tested experimentally.

Although not *bona fide* BH3 proteins, both BNIP3 and NIX do interact with Bcl-2 and Bcl- X_L through their amino terminal 49 amino acids [78], the region of both proteins that also mediates interaction with LC3-related molecules. Thus, it has been proposed that BNIP3/NIX interactions with Bcl-2 or Bcl- X_L can modulate binding of BNIP3/NIX to LC3 [77] (Figure 2A) although this has not been explored in a physiological context.

BNIP3-dependent mitophagy is preceded by mitochondrial fragmentation and perinuclear clustering of mitochondria [28,81]. Over-expression of exogenous BNIP3 induces mitochondrial fragmentation possibly due to the inhibitory interaction of BNIP3 with the fusion protein Opa-1, resulting in disruption of Opa-1 complexes and cristae remodeling [82,83] (Figure 2B). BNIP3 also induces translocation of the fission protein Drp-1 to mitochondria such that over-expression of either Mfn-1 or dominant negative Drp-1 inhibited BNIP3-dependent mitophagy [84] (Figure 2B).Thus, similar to Parkin and other signals that promote mitophagy, there is an intimate link between BNIP3 and regulators of mitochondrial fission and fusion, implicating modulation of mitochondrial dynamics in BNIP3-dependent mitophagy. Intriguingly, the ability of BNIP3 to promote mitochondrial fragmentation can be uncoupled from its ability to induce mitophagy, but again, the signals regulating the uncoupling of BNIP3 functions in mitochondrial fragmentation from its ability to promote mitophagy are not known.

Both BNIP3 and NIX also interact with Rheb, a small GTPase that acts positively upstream of mTOR to promote cell growth [74,85]. Rheb interacts with BNIP3 in a manner dependent on the transmembrane domain of BNIP3 consistent with Rheb only interacting with BNIP3 dimers at the OMM [85] (Figure 2C). Similar to the binding of Bcl-2 and Bcl-X_L to BNIP3 [78], Rheb binding also required the 30 amino terminal residues of BNIP3 [85], suggesting that Bcl-2 and Bcl-X_L may modulate the BNIP3-Rheb interaction. This work also reported that BNIP3 repressed Rheb activity resulting in reduced mTOR activity and slower cell growth [85], consistent with a tumor suppressor function for BNIP3.

By contrast, the interaction of NIX with Rheb elicited mTOR-independent effects on cell growth [74]. Rheb was recruited to the OMM under growth conditions that stimulated high levels of oxidative phosphorylation where Rheb interacted directly with NIX and processed LC3 (Figure 2D). Over-expression of Rheb promoted LC3 processing and increased mitophagy independent of mTOR activity but in a NIX-dependent fashion [74]. Thus, NIX appears to play a key role in recruiting Rheb to mitochondria under conditions of high oxidative phosphorylation leading to increased mitophagy that would be required to maintain a healthy pool of mitochondria under high rates of oxidative metabolism. Arguably, this more recent report identifying positive regulation of Rheb by NIX contrasts with the previous study in which BNIP3 repressed Rheb activity [85]. Clearly, NIX may function differently from BNIP3 with respect to Rheb activity in mitophagy, and further work will be needed to reconcile these findings.

BNIP3 and NIX have both been shown to be upregulated in ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) in human breast cancer [86,87], while loss of BNIP3 expression at both the RNA and protein level in progression to invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast was associated with increased proliferative index and lymph node metastases [88]. In other cancers, including hematological malignancies and lung, gastric, pancreatic, and liver cancer, epigenetic silencing of BNIP3 expression as tumors progress to invasiveness and metastasis has been reported [89-92]. In pancreatic cancer in particular, inactivation of BNIP3 was associated with chemoresistance and a poor prognosis [89,93,94]. However, epigenetic silencing is not the likely mechanism of BNIP3 silencing in human breast cancer [95]. Interestingly, Tumorscape™ (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA) showed significant deletion around the BNIP3 locus at 10q26.3 in 7 out of 14 human tumor types, including breast cancer [96] while altered subcellular localization of BNIP3 in glioma, breast, and prostate cancer has also been reported [88,97-99]. Consistently, BNIP3 knockdown in the 4T07 orthotopic mammary tumor model promoted tumor growth and metastasis [100]. Tumor suppressor functions have also been attributed to NIX [64] although the relative importance of NIX in early-stage versus late-stage tumorigenesis has not been dissected. Thus, similar to Parkin [37], BNIP3 and NIX both appear to play tumor suppressor roles.

Other mitophagy regulators

Mitochondrial uncoupling agents can rescue mitophagy defects in Nix null erythroblasts [68], indicating that alternative mitophagy mechanisms can be activated to promote mitophagy when one particular pathway is inactivated. Currently, there is no evidence to suggest that either BNIP3 or NIX requires Parkin activity to promote mitophagy. Conversely, while one report suggests that BNIP3 and NIX promote Parkin recruitment to mitochondria [101], another report indicates that they do not [32]. Redundancy between mechanisms of mitophagy would explain the lack of more severe phenotypes in mice genetically deleted for Parkin, BNIP3, or NIX [36,65,102]. Indeed, there are mitochondrial E3 ubiquitin ligase complexes other than Parkin involved in regulating mitophagy, such as Mul1, which is induced by FoxO1 and FoxO3 transcription factors in response to serum starvation and other stresses [103]. Mull promotes mitophagy in skeletal muscle, and this involves its ubiquitinating and targeting Mfn-2 for degradation, resulting in increased mitochondrial fission and mitophagy [103]. Another novel mitophagy mechanism involves the hypoxia-induced interaction of FUNDC1 protein at the OMM with LC3 at the phagophore through a conserved LIR motif in FUNDC1 [104]. Similar to the autophagy adaptor molecule NBR1, there is a tyrosine residue rather than the more common tryptophan at the critical +1 position in the LIR motif of FUNDC1 [104]. Intriguingly, this renders the FUNDC1-LC3 interaction subject to negative regulation by oncogenic SRC1 kinase activity that phosphorylates FUNDC1 at Y18 [104,105]. Conversely, phosphorylation of FUNDC1 by ULK-1 on serine 17, immediately adjacent to Y18 in the LIR motif of FUNDC1, promotes the interaction of FUNDC1 with LC3 and facilitates mitochondrial turnover [105]. ULK-1 translocation to mitochondria was induced by hypoxia (or mitochondrial uncoupling agents) where it was shown to interact directly with FUNDC1 [105]. Interestingly, FUNDC1 and NIX are both repressed by a hypoxia-induced microRNA, miR-137, thereby limiting the extent of mitophagy under hypoxia [106]. In summary, it is clear that there are multiple redundant pathways modulating mitochondrial turnover at the autophagosome and the key question remains how these mechanisms are coordinately regulated in response to different stresses and how they may be disrupted in cancer.

Effects of autophagy inhibition versus mitophagy inhibition on tumorigenesis

Several recent publications have highlighted the accumulation of defective mitochondria as explaining the block to tumor progression when macro-autophagy is inhibited [107-110]. In most of these mouse tumor models, macroautophagy was inhibited genetically through targeted deletion of either Atg5 or Atg7 in the context of K-Ras-driven oncogenesis [107-109,111]. While loss of autophagy promoted early growth of tumors, progression to late-stage and invasive disease was blocked highlighting a dual role for autophagy in cancer - tumor suppressive early, while tumor-promoting later. Based on these studies, it was proposed that Ras-driven tumors were 'autophagy addicted' [107] such that tumors expressing activated K-Ras depend on autophagy to maintain metabolic sufficiency under nutrient depletion, ischemia, or matrix detachment and this is particularly important at later stages of tumorigenesis [107-110].

In-depth analyses of autophagy-deficient tumors in these mice revealed the presence of clearly dysfunctional mitochondria that exhibited altered morphology, ineffective fatty acid oxidation, reduced carbon flux through Krebs cycle, and lipid accumulation [107-110]. This in turn was linked to increased glucose uptake and reduced oxygen consumption under aerobic conditions, both key features of the Warburg effect. Given these mitochondrial inefficiencies and the failure to progress to malignancy, it was suggested that these autophagy-deficient tumors were akin to oncocytomas [108], benign tumors forming in key endocrine organs that possess large numbers of swollen and dysfunctional mitochondria for as yet unexplained reasons [112].

What is not clear from these studies is the extent to which other defects arising from defective autophagy contribute to the altered tumor phenotype and failure of autophagy-deficient tumors to progress to malignant carcinoma. Critically, autophagy is required for amino acid recycling from the lysosome that plays a critical part in growth under conditions of nutrient deprivation, such as in ischemic tumors [1,5,113]. This could clearly contribute to the tumor phenotype in addition to the observed defects in mitochondria. Additionally, autophagy plays a key role in other processes that affect malignant progression, including elimination of unfolded proteins and reducing ER stress [114], effects on recruitment of tumorassociated immune cells and anti-tumor immunosurveillance [111,114-116], and secretion of cytokines and MMPs [117]. Thus, while there are clearly mitochondrial defects in tumors arising in mice deficient for autophagy as a whole, the overall tumor phenotype cannot currently be attributed entirely to the accumulation of defective mitochondria. This becomes particularly apparent when the effects of mitophagy deficiency on tumorigenesis are examined (Table 1). Loss of Parkin, as already mentioned, promotes the Warburg Effect, tumorigenesis in the liver, and irradiation-induced lymphomagenesis [35,37] while inhibition of BNIP3 or NIX promotes tumor progression [64,100]. Thus, based on currently available data, it appears that inhibition of mitophagy promotes tumor progression and does not phenocopy inhibition of autophagy, which blocks tumor progression (Table 1).

Targeting mitophagy as an approach to adjuvant chemotherapy?

The adverse tumor-promoting effects of chronic mitophagy inhibition arising from deletion or inactivation of genes such as Parkin and BNip3, particularly induction of the Warburg effect, argue against targeting mitophagy as a therapeutic strategy. However, for advanced tumors that have already undergone the switch to glycolytic metabolism but remain dependent on mitochondria for other metabolic functions, such as glutaminolysis, fatty acid oxidation, and generation of critical Krebs cycle intermediates, acute chemical inhibition of mitophagy remains a valid approach to be tested therapeutically. Since tumor cells already produce increased ROS compared to normal cells [118], the combined effect of further increased ROS and reduced mitochondrial metabolism arising from inhibition of mitophagy may be synergistic and promote efficient tumor cell killing while sparing normal cells that are less likely to have dysfunctional mitochondria and therefore likely to be less sensitive to mitophagy inhibition (Figure 3). Before such approaches can be adopted though, it will be necessary to investigate further how much mitochondrial damage or dysfunction can be tolerated by normal versus tumor cells, and for how long, before loss of viability. Once mitophagy is inhibited, for example, it is not clear how rapidly damaged mitochondria accumulate and to what extent this varies depending on cell type, the specific type of mitochondrial damage sustained, the nature of the damaging stress applied, or indeed the ability of the cell to adapt to mitochondrial dysfunction in other ways. For example, increased mitochondrial fusion may allow some cell types to distribute damaged mitochondrial content in such a way that cells can survive mitophagy inhibition. It will also be important to identify which tumors retain the capacity to undergo functional mitophagy and have not undergone selection for mitophagy inactivation through deletion of Parkin, or silencing of BNIP3, for example.

To overcome some of these potential caveats, complementary approaches combining acute mitophagy

Gene (human/mouse)	Linked human cancer	Mouse model phenotype	Reference	
Mitophagy regulators				
Parkin	<i>Parkin</i> located at chr. 6q25-q26 is significantly deleted in bladder, lung, breast, and ovarian cancer.	<i>Parkin</i> null mice are susceptible to liver tumors; sensitized to irradiation-induced lymphomagenesis.	[34,35,37]	
BNIP3/Bnip3	BNIP3 is up-regulated in DCIS; epigenetically silenced in hematologic, liver, lung, colorectal, and pancreas cancer.	Knockdown of BNIP3 promotes metastasis in an orthotopic mouse model of breast cancer.	[86-91,100]	
BNIP3L/Bnip3L (NIX/Nix)	<i>NIX</i> is up-regulated in DCIS correlating with hypoxia.	Knockdown of NIX promotes tumorigenicity in xenograft model.	[64,86,87]	
Autophagy regulators				
BECN1/Becn1	Mono-allelic deletion BECN1 in breast, ovarian, and prostate cancer, although linkage to BRCA1 calls significance of BECN1 deletion into question.	Becn1 heterozygotes are predisposed to lymphoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and other cancers; BecIn1 deficiency promotes tumor growth in xenografts.	[124-127]	
p62/SQSTM1	p62/SQSTM1 amplification on chr.5q linked to clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Over-expressed in lung, breast and prostate cancer.	p62-null mice resistant to Ras-driven lung tumorigenesis. Loss of p62 reduces liver tumorigenesis in Atg7-deficient mice and other models.	[128-130]	
ATG5/Atg5	Not reported.	Deletion of Atg5 promotes early-stage tumor growth in K-Ras driven lung and pancreas cancers but inhibits progression to malignancy.	[109,111]	
ATG7/Atg7	Silenced in HNSCC.	Deletion of Atg7 promotes early-stage tumor growth in K-Ras and B-Raf-driven lung and K-Ras-driven pancreas cancers but inhibits progression to malignancy.	[108-110,131]	
FIP200 (RB1CC1)	Inactivating truncation mutations found in human breast cancers leads to repression of the RB tumor suppressor.	Loss of FIP200 inhibits primary tumor growth and metastasis in the MMTV-PyVT mouse model of mammary tumorigenesis in a p62-dependent manner.	[116,132]	

Table 1 Comparison of	the tumor phenotypes	s associated with o	deregulation of ke	ey regulators of	mitophagy and
general autophagy					



Figure 3 Strategies to target mitophagy for cancer therapy. Tumor cells are likely to be more dependent on functional mitophagy than normal cells due the increased requirement to manage ROS levels, due to dependence on key aspects of mitochondrial metabolism, such as glutaminolysis, particularly given the ischemic nature of advanced macroscopic tumors. Such a dependence on mitophagy could be exploited therapeutically by the development of specific small molecule inhibitors of mitophagy that could be combined with other drugs that induce mitochondrial dysfunction, such as respiratory inhibitors or antibiotics, to further increase the requirement for functional mitophagy.

inhibition with drugs that inhibit glycolysis (to prevent the Warburg effect) might be more effective. Alternatively, acute induction of mitochondrial dysfunction could be used to unmask a dependence on mitophagy, as opposed to relying on mitophagy inhibition on its own that will only kill cells that have an inherently high normal rate of mitochondrial turnover and/or high rate of mitochondrial damage accumulation (Figure 3). Such acute stresses could include inhibiting respiration with metformin or other respiratory inhibitors. Interestingly, the increased sensitivity of K-Ras^{G12D}; Lkb1 null lung tumors to phenformin (a more potent analog of metformin) was partially attributed to mitophagy defects in the absence of AMPK/ULK1 signaling downstream of Lkb1 [119]. Moreover, an RNAi screen to identify genes that sensitized tumor cells to low glucose found that inhibition of components of the electron transport chain was most effective in limiting the growth of patient-derived tumor cells [120]. This implicates mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation taking place at the mitochondria as the key determinant of sensitivity to low glucose, providing further rationale for the use of biguanides, such as metformin, in cancer therapy [120]. Along similar lines, another recent study identified VLX600 as a drug that inhibits mitochondrial respiration, induces mitochondrial dysfunction, and preferentially kills tumor cells when exposed to nutrient stress [121]. In addition, the resistance of dormant tumor cells in K-Ras-driven pancreatic cancer to oncogene ablation was shown to be dependent on functional OXPHOS [122]. More speculatively, antibiotics such as tetracycline could be re-purposed for cancer therapy in combination with mitophagy inhibitors. These mito-toxic antibiotics inhibit mitochondrial protein translation, similar to their action in bacteria, resulting in a 'mitonuclear' protein imbalance that activates the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR^{mt}) that is commonly resolved by mitophagy [50,123]. Treatment of tumor cells with any one of these drugs would be predicted to elicit an acute dependence on mitophagy for survival before other adaptive survival mechanisms come into play. Thus, combining one or more of these drugs with a drug that inhibits mitophagy may provide added benefit in terms of treating cancers.

Conclusions

Mitophagy is a clearly distinct form of autophagy involving the selective degradation of mitochondria at the autophagolysosome. Specific defects in mitophagy have been linked to human cancers through deletion of key regulators such as Parkin and BNIP3. Additionally, mouse models reveal distinct phenotypes when mitophagy is specifically inhibited compared to that observed when general autophagy is inhibited. Targeting mitophagy may therefore offer opportunities to more selectively inhibit tumor progression to malignancy where one may take advantage of the acute sensitivity of tumor cells to mitochondrial dysfunction when combined with other drugs or stresses.

Abbreviations

AMPK: AMP (adenosine monophosphate)-regulated kinase; ATP: adenosine triphosphate; Bcl-2: B-cell leukemia/lymphoma protein-2; BH3: Bcl-2 homology domain 3: BNIP3: Bcl-2/adenovirus E1B interacting protein-3: BNIP3L: BNIP3-like; DCIS: ductal carcinoma in situ; FIP200: FAK interacting protein 200; FUNDC1: FUN14 domain containing 1; HDAC: histone deacetylase; HIF: hypoxia-inducible factor; IMM: inner mitochondrial membrane; IMS: intermembrane space; LC3: light chain 3; LIR: LC3-interacting region; Mcl-1: myeloid cell leukemia-1; Mfn-2: Mitofusin-2; mtDNA: mitochondrial DNA; MTs: microtubules; NBR1: neighbor of BRCA1; NIX: Nip-like protein-X; OPA-1: optic atrophy-1; OMM: outer mitochondrial membrane; OXPHOS: oxidative phosphorylation; PINK1: PTEN-induced putative kinase-1; PKA: protein kinase A; RB: retinoblastoma tumor suppressor: RB1CC1: RB1-inducible coiled coil 1: ROS: reactive oxygen species; SQSTM1: sequestosome-1; TNBC: triple negative breast cancer; ULK-1: unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase-1; VDAC: voltage-dependent anion channel.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

KFM wrote the manuscript. AHC designed all the figures and tables. AHC, MLB, and KFM reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

KFM is an Associate Professor in the Ben May Department for Cancer Research and Chair of the Committee on Cancer Biology at the University of Chicago. AHC is a third year graduate student in the Cancer Biology program and MLB is a fifth year graduate student in the Molecular Metabolism program, both at the University of Chicago.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for financial support from the National Institutes of Health (T32 CA009594 to AHC, T32-DK780073 to MLB, and RO1 CA131188 to KFM).

Author details

¹The Ben May Department for Cancer Research, The University of Chicago, 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ²The Committee on Cancer Biology, The University of Chicago, 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ³The Committee on Molecular Metabolism & Nutrition, 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ⁴The Ben May Department for Cancer Research, The University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Gordon Center for Integrative Sciences, W338 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, USA.

Received: 21 July 2014 Accepted: 1 December 2014 Published online: 26 March 2015

References

- Mizushima N, Komatsu M. Autophagy: renovation of cells and tissues. Cell. 2011;147:728–41.
- Mizushima N, Yoshimori T, Ohsumi Y. The role of Atg proteins in autophagosome formation. Ann Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2011;27:107–32.
- Levine B, Kroemer G. Autophagy in the pathogenesis of disease. Cell. 2008;132:27–42.
- Glick D, Barth S, Macleod KF. Autophagy: cellular & molecular mechanisms. J Pathol. 2010;221:3–12.
- Kroemer G, Marino G, Levine B. Autophagy and the integrated stress response. Mol Cell. 2010;40:280–93.
- Rabinowitz JD, White E. Autophagy and metabolism. Science. 2010;330:1344–8.

- Mizushima N, Klionsky DJ. Protein turnover via autophagy: implications for metabolism. Ann Rev Nutr. 2007;27:19–40.
- Kim I, Rodriguez-Enriquez S, Lemasters JJ. Selective degradation of mitochondria by mitophagy. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2007;462:245–53.
- Komatsu M, Kurokawa H, Waguri S, Taguchi K, Kobayashi A, Ichimura Y, et al. The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12:213–23.
- Johansen T, Lamark T. Selective autophagy mediated by autophagic adaptor proteins. Autophagy. 2011;7:1–18.
- 11. Weidberg H, Shvets E, Elazar Z. Biogenesis and cargo selectivity of autophagosomes. Ann Rev Biochem. 2011;80:125–56.
- Rogov V, Dotsch V, Johansen T, Kirkin V. Interactions between autophagy receptors and ubiquitin-like proteins form the molecular basis for selective autophagy. Mol Cell. 2014;53:167–78.
- 13. Green DR, Levine B. To be or not to be? How selective autophagy and cell death govern cell fate. Cell. 2014;157:65–75.
- 14. Tolkovsky AM. Mitophagy. Biochim Biophy Acta. 2009;1793:1508–15.
- 15. Youle RJ, Narendra DP. Mechanisms of mitophagy. Nat Rev Mol Biol. 2011;12:9–14.
- 16. Boland ML, Chourasia AH, Macleod KF. Mitochondrial dysfunction in cancer. Frontiers in Oncology. 2013;3:292–320.
- Twig G, Elorza A, Molina AJ, Mohamed H, Wikstrom JD, Walzer G, et al. Fission and selective fusion govern mitochondrial segregation and elimination by autophagy. EMBO J. 2008;27:433–46.
- Rambold AS, Kostelecky B, Elia N, Lippincott-Schwartz J. Tubular network formation protects mitochondrial from autophagosomal degradation during nutrient starvation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:10190–5.
- Gomes LC, Di Benedetto G, Scorrano L. During autophagy mitochondria elongate, are spared from degradation and sustain cell viability. Nat Cell Biol. 2011;13:589–98.
- Matsuda N, Sato S, Shiba K, Okatsu K, Saisho K, Gautier SA, et al. PINK1 stabilized by mitochondrial depolarization recruits Parkin to damaged mitochondria and activates latent Parkin for mitophagy. J Cell Biol. 2010;189:211–21.
- Narendra DP, Jin SM, Tanaka AJ, Suen DF, Gautier CA, Shen J, et al. PINK1 is selectively stabilized on impaired mitochondria to activate Parkin. PLoS Biol. 2010;8:e1000298.
- Vives-Bauza C, Zhou C, Huang Y, Cui M, de Vries RL, Kim J, et al. PINK1dependent recruitment of Parkin to mitochondria in mitophagy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:378–83.
- Head B, Griparic L, Amiri M, Gandre-Babbe S, van der Bliek AM. Inducible proteolytic inactivation of OPA1 mediated by the OMA1 protease in mammalian cells. J Cell Biol. 2009;187:959–66.
- Ehses S, Raschke I, Mancuso G, Bernacchia A, Geimer S, Tondera D, et al. Regulation of OPA1 processing and mitochondrial fusion by m-AAA protease isoenzymes and OMA1. J Cell Biol. 2009;187:1023–36.
- Schriner SE, Linford NJ, Martin GM, Treuting P, Ogburn CE, Emond M, et al. Extension of murine life span by overexpression of catalase targeted to mitochondria. Science. 2005;308:1909–11.
- Lee HY, Choi CS, Birkenfeld AL, Alves TC, Jornayvaz FR, Jurczak MJ, et al. Targeted expression of catalase to mitochondria prevents age-associated reductions in mitochondrial function and insulin resistance. Cell Metab. 2010;12:668–74.
- Zhang H, Gao P, Fukuda R, Kumar G, Krishnamachary B, Zeller KI, et al. HIF-1 inhibits mitochondrial biogenesis and cellular respiration in VHL-deficient renal cell carcinoma by repression of c-Myc activity. Cancer Cell. 2007;11:407–20.
- Tracy K, Dibling BC, Spike BT, Knabb JR, Schumacker P, Macleod KF. BNIP3 is a RB/E2F target gene required for hypoxia-induced autophagy. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27:6229–42.
- 29. Tracy K, Macleod KF. Regulation of mitochondrial integrity, autophagy and cell survival by BNIP3. Autophagy. 2007;3:616–9.
- Narendra D, Youle RJ. Targeting mitochondrial dysfunction: role for PINK1 and Parkin in mitochondrial quality control. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2011;14:1929–38.
- 31. Zhang J, Ney PA. Role of BNIP3 and NIX in cell death, autophagy and mitophagy. Cell Death Diff. 2009;16:939–46.
- Hollville E, Carroll RG, Cullen SP, Martin SG. Bcl-2 family proteins participate in mitochondrial quality control by regulating Parkin/PINK1-dependent mitophagy. Mol Cell. 2014;55:451–66.

- Cesari R, Martin ES, Calin GA, Pentimalli F, Bichi R, McAdams H, et al. Parkin, a gene implicated in autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism, is a candidate tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 6q25-q27. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100:5956–61.
- Gong Y, Zack TI, Morris LGT, Lin K, Hukkelhoven E, Raheja R, et al. Pan-cancer genetic analysis identifies PARK2 as a master regulator of G1/S cyclins. Nat Gen. 2014;46:588–94.
- Fujiwara M, Marusawa H, Wang HQ, Iwai A, Ikeuchi K, Imai Y, et al. Parkin as a tumor suppressor gene for hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncogene. 2008;27:6002–11.
- Kim KY, Stevens MV, Akter MH, Rusk SE, Huang RJ, Cohen A, et al. Parkin is a lipid-responsive regulator of fat uptake in mice and mutant human cells. J Clin Invest. 2011;121:3701–12.
- Zhang C, Lin M, Wu R, Wang X, Yang BG, Levine AJ, et al. Parkin, a p53 target gene, mediates the role of p53 in glucose metabolism and the Warburg effect. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:16259–64.
- Narendra D, Walker JE, Youle R. Mitochondrial quality control mediated by PINK1 and Parkin: links to parkinsonism. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012;4:a011338.
- Kane LA, Lazarou M, Fogel AI, Li Y, Yamano K, Sarraf SA, et al. PINK1 phosphorylates ubiquitin to activate Parkin E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. J Cell Biol. 2014;205:143–53.
- Ordureau A, Sarraf SA, Duda DM, Heo JM, Jedrychowski MP, Sviderskiy VO, et al. Quantitative proteomics reveal a feedforward mechanisms for mitochondrial PARKIN translocation and ubiquitin chain synthesis. Mol Cell. 2014;56:360–75.
- Wang X, Winter D, Ashrafi G, Schlehe J, Wong YL, Selkoe D, et al. PINK1 and Parkin target Miro for phosphorylation and degradation to arrest mitochondrial motility. Cell. 2011;147:893–906.
- Chan NC, Salazar AM, Pham AH, Sweredoski MJ, Kolawa NJ, Graham RL, et al. Broad activation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system by Parkin is critical for mitophagy. Hum Mol Genet. 2011;20:1726–37.
- Sarraf SA, Raman M, Guarani-Pereira V, Sowa ME, Huttlin EL, Gygi SP, et al. Landscape of the PARKIN-dependent ubiquitylome in response to mitochondrial depolarization. Nature. 2013;496:372–6.
- 44. Chen Y, Dorn GW. PINK1-phosphorylated Mitofusin-2 is a Parkin receptor for culling damaged mitochondria. Science. 2013;340:471–5.
- Bingol B, Tea JS, Phu L, Reichelt M, Bakalarski CE, Song Q, et al. The mitochondrial deubiquitinase USP30 opposes parkin-mediated mitophagy. Nature. 2014;510:370–5.
- Lee JY, Nagano Y, Taylor JP, Lim KL, Yao TP. Disease-causing mutations in parkin impair mitochondrial ubiquitination, aggregation, and HDAC6dependent mitophagy. J Cell Biol. 2010;189:671–9.
- Geisler S, Holmström KM, Skujat D, Fiesel FC, Rothfuss OC, Kahle PJ, et al. PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy is dependent on VDAC1 and p62/ SQSTM1. Nat Cell Biol. 2010;12:119–31.
- Novak I. Mitophagy: a complex mechanism of mitochondrial removal. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2012;17:794–802.
- Narendra D, Kane LA, Hauser DN, Fearnley IM, Youle RJ. p62/SQSTM1 is required for Parkin-induced mitochondrial clustering but not mitophagy; VDAC1 is dispensable for both. Autophagy. 2010;6:1090–106.
- Houtkooper RH, Mouchiroud L, Ryu D, Moullan N, Katsyuba E, Knott G, et al. Mitonuclear protein imbalance as a conserved longevity mechanism. Nature. 2013;497:451–7.
- Lee JY, Koga H, Kawaguchi Y, Tang W, Wong E, Gao YS, et al. HDAC6 controls autophagosome maturation essential for ubiquitin-selective quality-control autophagy. The EMBO J. 2010;29:969–80.
- Shin JH, Ko HS, Kang HS, Lee YK, Lee YI, Pletinkova O, et al. PARIS (ZNF746) repression of PGC-1α contributes to neurodegeneration in Parkinson's disease. Cell. 2011;144:689–702.
- Chinnadurai G, Vijayalingam S, Gibson SB. BNIP3 subfamily BH3-only proteins: mitochondrial stress sensors in normal and pathological functions. Oncogene. 2009;27:S114–27.
- 54. Bruick RK. Expression of the gene encoding the proapoptotic Nip3 protein is induced by hypoxia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97:9082–7.
- Guo K, Searfoss G, Krolikowski D, Pagnoni M, Franks C, Clark K, et al. Hypoxia induces the expression of the pro-apoptotic gene BNIP3. Cell Death Diff. 2001;8:367–76.
- Kasper LH, Boussouar F, Boyd K, Xu W, Biesen M, Rehg J, et al. Two transcriptional mechanisms cooperate for the bulk of HIF-1-responsive gene expression. EMBO J. 2005;24:3846–58.

- Dayan F, Roux D, Brahimi-Horn C, Pouyssegur J, Mazure NM. The oxygen sensing factor-inhibiting hypoxia-inducible factor-1 controls expression of distinct genes through the bi-functional transcriptional character of hypoxia-inducible factor-1a. Cancer Res. 2006;66:3688–98.
- Pouyssegur J, Dayan F, Mazure NM. Hypoxia signalling in cancer and approaches to enforce tumour regression. Nature. 2006;441:437–43.
- Shaw J, Yurkova N, Zhang T, Gang H, Aguilar F, Weidman D, et al. Antagonism of E2F-1 regulated Bnip3 transcription by NF-kappaB is essential for basal cell survival. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105:20734–9.
- Mammucari C, Milan G, Romanello V, Masiero E, Rudolf R, Del Piccolo P, et al. FoxO3 controls autophagy in skeletal muscle in vivo. Cell Metab. 2007;6:458–71.
- 61. Kalas W, Swiderek E, Rapak A, Kopij M, Rak JW, Strzadala L. H-ras upregulates expression of BNIP3. Anticancer Res. 2011;31:2869–75.
- Wu SY, Lan SH, Cheng DE, Chen WK, Shen CH, Lee YR, et al. Ras-related tumorigenesis is suppressed by BNIP3-mediated autophagy through inhibition of cell proliferation. Neoplasia. 2011;13:1171–82.
- Feng X, Liu X, Zhang W, Xiao W. p53 directly suppresses BNIP3 expression to protect against hypoxia-induced cell death. EMBO J. 2011;30:3397–415.
- Fei P, Wang W, Kim SH, Wang S, Burns TF, Sax JK, et al. Bnip3L is induced by p53 under hypoxia, and its knockdown promotes tumor growth. Cancer Cell. 2005;6:597–609.
- Diwan A, Koesters AG, Odley AM, Pushkaran S, Baines CP, Spike BT, et al. Unrestrained erythroblast development in Nix–/– mice reveals a mechanism for apoptotic modulation of erythropoiesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:6794–9.
- Glick D, Zhang W, Beaton M, Marsboom G, Gruber M, Simon MC, et al. BNip3 regulates mitochondrial function and lipid metabolism in the liver. Mol Cell Biol. 2012;32:2570–84.
- Schweers RL, Zhang J, Randall MS, Loyd MR, Li W, Dorsey FC, et al. NIX is required for programmed mitochondrial clearance during reticulocyte maturation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:19500–5.
- Sandoval H, Thiagarajan P, Dasgupta SK, Scumacker A, Prchal JT, Chen M, et al. Essential role for Nix in autophagic maturation of red cells. Nature. 2008;454:232–5.
- Vande Velde C, Cizeau J, Dubik D, Alimonti J, Brown T, Israels S, et al. BNIP3 and genetic control of necrosis-like cell death through the mitochondrial permeability transition pore. Mol Cell Biol. 2000;20:5454–68.
- Sulistijo ES, Jaszewksi TM, MacKenzie KR. Sequence-specific dimerization of the transmembrane domain of the "BH3-only" protein BNIP3 in membranes and detergent. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:51950–6.
- 71. Sulistijo ES, MacKenzie KR. Structural basis for dimerization of the BNIP3 transmembrane domain. Biochem. 2009;48:5106–20.
- Schwarten M, Mohrluder J, Ma P, Stoldt M, Thielman Y, Stangler T, et al. Nix binds to GABARAP: a possible crosstalk between apoptosis and autophagy. Autophagy. 2009;5:690–8.
- Hanna RA, Quinsay MN, Orogo AM, Giang K, Rikka S, Gustafsson AB. Microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3) interacts with BNip3 protein to selectively remove endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria via autophagy. J Biol Chem. 2012;287:19094–104.
- Melser S, Chatelain EH, Lavie J, Mahfouf W, Jose C, Obre E, et al. Rheb regulates mitophagy induced by mitochondrial energetic status. Cell Metab. 2013;17:719–30.
- Kanki T, Wang KKW, Caio Y, Baba M, Klionsky DJ. Atg32 is a mitochondrial protein that confers selectivity during mitophagy. Dev Cell. 2009;17:98–109.
- Okamoto K, Kondo-Okamoto N, Ohsumi Y. Mitochondrial-anchored receptor Atg32 mediates degradation of mitochondria via selective autophagy. Dev Cell. 2009;17:87–97.
- Zhu Y, Massen S, Terenzio M, Lang V, Chen-Lindner S, Eils R, et al. Modulation of serines 17 and 24 in the LC3-interacting region of BNIP3 determines pro-survival mitophagy VS. apoptosis. J Biol Chem. 2012;288:1099–113.
- Ray R, Chen G, Vande Velde C, Cizeau J, Park JH, Reed JC, et al. BNIP3 heterodimerizes with Bcl-2/Bcl-XL and induces cell death independent of a Bcl-2 homology 3 (BH3) domain at both mitochondrial and nonmitochondrial sites. J Biol Chem. 2000;275:1439–48.
- 79. Hardwick JM, Youle RJ. Snapshot: Bcl2 proteins. Cell. 2009;23:404.
- Graham RM, Frazier DP, Thompson JW, Haliko S, Li H, Wasserlauf BJ, et al. A unique pathway of cardiac myocyte death caused by hypoxia-acidosis. J Exp Biol. 2004;207:3189–200.
- Al-Mehdi AB, Pastukh VM, Swiger BM, Reed DJ, Patel MR, Bardwell GC, et al. Perinuclear mitochondrial clustering creates an oxidant-rich nuclear domain required for hypoxia-induced transcription. Sci Signal. 2012;5:ra47.

- Landes T, Emorine LJ, Courilleau D, Rojo M, Belenguer P, Arnaune-Pelloquin L. The BH3-only BNip3 binds to the dynamin Opa1 to promote mitochondrial fragmentation and apoptosis by distinct mechanisms. EMBO Rep. 2010;11:459–65.
- Quinsay MN, Lee YS, Rikka S, Sayen MR, Molkentin JD, Gottlieb RA, et al. BNip3 mediates permeabilization of mitochondria and relase of cytochrome c via a novel mechanism. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2009;481:146–56.
- Lee YK, Lee HY, Hanna RA, Gustafsson AB. Mitochondrial autophagy by Bnip3 involves Drp1-mediated mitochondrial fission and recruitment of Parkin in cardiac myocytes. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2011;301:H1924–31.
- Li Y, Wang Y, Kim E, Beemiller P, Wang CY, Swanson J, et al. Bnip3 mediates the hypoxia-induced inhibition on mTOR by interacting with Rheb. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:35803–13.
- Sowter HM, Ferguson M, Pym C, Watson P, Fox SB, Han C, et al. Expression of the cell death genes BNip3 and Nix in ductal carcinoma in situ of the breast; correlation of BNip3 levels with necrosis and grade. J Path. 2003;201:573–80.
- Sowter HM, Ratcliffe PJ, Watson P, Greenberg AH, Harris AL. HIF1-dependent regulation of hypoxic induction of the cell death factors BNIP3 and NIX in human tumors. Cancer Res. 2001;61:6669–73.
- Koop EA, van Laar T, D.F. vW, Weger RA, van der Wall E, van Diest PJ. Expression of BNIP3 in invasive breast cancer: correlations with the hypoxic response and clinicopathological features. BMC Cancer. 2009;9:175–82.
- Okami J, Simeone DM, Logsdon CD. Silencing of the hypoxia-inducible cell death protein BNIP3 in pancreatic cancer. Cancer Res. 2004;64:5338–46.
- 90. Murai M, Toyota M, Satoh A, Suzuki H, Akino K, Mita H, et al. Aberrant methylation associated with silencing BNIP3 expression in haematopoietic tumours. Br J Cancer. 2005;92:1165–72.
- Murai M, Toyota M, Suzuki H, Satoh A, Sasaki Y, Akino K, et al. Aberrant methylation and silencing of the BNIP3 gene in colorectal and gastric cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:1021–7.
- Calvisi DF, Ladu S, Gorden A, Farina M, Lee JS, Conner E, et al. Mechanistic and prognostic significance of aberrant methylation in the molecular pathogenesis of human hepatocellular carcinoma. J Clin Invest. 2007;117:2713–22.
- Erkan M, Kleef J, Esposito I, Giese T, Ketterer K, Buchler MW, et al. Loss of BNIP3 expression is a late event in pancreatic cancer contributing to chemoresistance and worsened prognosis. Oncogene. 2005;24:4421–32.
- Akada M, Crnogorac-Jurcevic T, Lattimore S, Mahon P, Lopes R, Sunamura M, et al. Intrinsic chemoresistance to gemcitabine is associated with decreased expression of BNIP3 in pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:3094–101.
- van Diest PJ, Suijkerbuijk KPM, Koop EA, Weger RA, van der Wall E. Low levels of BNIP3 promoter hypermethylation in invasive breast cancer. Anal Cell Pathol. 2010;33:175–6.
- Beroukhim R, Mermel CH, Porter D, Wei G, Raychaudhuri S, Donovan J, et al. The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. Nature. 2010;463:899–905.
- Burton TR, Henson ES, Baijal P, Elsenstat DD, Gibson SB. The pro-cell death Bcl-2 family member, BNIP3, is localized to the nucleus of human glial cells: implications for glioblastoma multiforme tumor cell survival under hypoxia. Int J Cancer. 2006;118:1660–9.
- 98. Tan EY, Campo L, Han C, Turley H, Pezzella F, Gatter KC, et al. BNIP3 as a progression marker in primary human breast cancer; opposing functions in in situ versus invasive cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:467–74.
- 99. Shaida N, Launchbury R, Boddy JL, Jones C, Campo L, Turley H, et al. Expression of BNIP3 correlates with hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1alpha, HIF-2alpha and the androgen receptor in prostate cancer and is regulated directly by hypoxia but not androgens in cell lines. Prostate. 2008;68:336–43.
- Manka D, Spicer Z, Millhorn DE. Bcl-2/adenovirus E1B 19 kDa interacting protein-3 knockdown enables growth of breast cancer metastases in the lung, liver and bone. Cancer Res. 2005;65:11689–93.
- Ding WX, Ni HM, Li M, Liao Y, Chen X, Stolz DB, et al. Nix is critical to two distinct phases of mitophagy, reactive oxygen species-mediated autophagy induction and Parkin-ubiquitin-p62-mediated mitochondrial priming. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:27879–90.
- Diwan A, Krenz M, Syed FM, Wansapura J, Ren X, Koesters AG, et al. Inhibition of ischemic cardiomyocyte apoptosis through targeted ablation of BNip3 restrains postinfarction remodeling in mice. J Clin Invest. 2007;117:2825–33.

- Lokireddy S, Wijesoma IW, Teng SC, Bonala S, Gluckman PD, McFarlane C, et al. The ubiquitin ligase Mul1 induces mitophagy in skeletal muscle in response to muscle-wasting stimuli. Cell Metab. 2012;16:613–24.
- Liu L, Feng D, Chen G, Chen M, Zheng Q, Song P, et al. Mitochondrial outer-membrane protein FUNDC1 mediates hypoxia-induced mitophagy in mammalian cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2012;14:177–85.
- Wu WK, Tian W, Hu Z, Chen G, Huang L, Li W, et al. ULK1 translocates to mitochondria and phosphorylates FUNDC1 to regulate mitophagy. EMBO Rep. 2014;15:566–75.
- 106. Li W, Zhang X, Zhuang H, Chen HG, Chen Y, Tian W, et al. MicroRNA-137 is a novel hypoxia-responsive microRNA that inhibits mitophagy via regulation of two mitophagy receptors FUNDC1 and NIX. J Biol Chem. 2014;289:10691–701.
- Guo JY, Chen HY, Mathew R, Fan J, Strohecker AM, Karsli-Uzumbas G, et al. Activated Ras requires autophagy to maintain oxidative metabolism and tumorigenesis. Genes Dev. 2011;25:460–70.
- Guo JY, Karsli-Uzunbas G, Mathew R, Aisner SC, Kamphorst JJ, Strohecker AM, et al. Autophagy suppresses progression of K-ras-induced lung tumors to oncocytomas and maintains lipid homeostasis. Genes Dev. 2013;27:1447–61.
- Rosenfeldt MT, O'Prey J, Morton JP, Nixon C, MacKay G, Mrowinska A, et al. p53 status determines the role of autophagy in pancreatic tumour development. Nature. 2013;504:296–300.
- 110. Strohecker AM, Guo JY, Karsli-Uzunbas G, Price SM, Chen GJ, Mathew R, et al. Autophagy sustains mitochondrial glutamine metabolism and growth of BrafV600E-driven lung tumors. Cancer Discov. 2013;3:1272–85.
- 111. Rao S, Tortola L, Perlot T, Wirnsberger G, Novatchkova M, Nitsch R, et al. A dual role for autophagy in a murine model of lung cancer. Nat Comm. 2014;5:3056–70.
- Gasparre G, Romeo G, Rugolo M, Porcelli AM. Learning from oncocytic tumors: why choose inefficient mitochondria? Biochim Biophy Acta. 1807;2011:633–42.
- Settembre C, Di Malta C, Polito VA, Garcia Arencibia M, Vetrini F, Erdin S, et al. TFEB links autophagy to lysosomal biogenesis. Science. 2011;332:1429–33.
- 114. Maes H, Rubio N, Garg AD, Agostinis P. Autophagy: shaping the tumor microenvironment and therapeutic response. Trends Mol Med. 2013;19:428–46.
- 115. Michaud M, Martins I, Sukkurwala AQ, Adjemian S, Ma Y, Pellegatti P, et al. Autophagy-dependent anticancer immune responses induced by chemotherapeutic agents in mice. Science. 2011;334:1573–7.
- 116. Wei H, Wei S, Gan B, Peng X, Zou W, Guan JL. Suppression of autophagy by FIP200 deletion inhibits mammary tumorigenesis. Genes Dev. 2011;25:1510–27.
- 117. Lock R, Kenific CM, Leidal AM, Salas E, Debnath J. Autophagy dependent production of secreted factors facilitates oncogenic RAS-driven invasion. Cancer Discov. 2014;4:466–79.
- 118. Sena LA, Chandel NS. Physiological roles of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species. Mol Cell. 2012;48:158–67.
- 119. Shackelford DB, Abt E, Gerken L, Vasquez DS, Seki A, Leblanc M, et al. LKB1 inactivation dictates therapeutic response of non-small cell lung cancer to the metabolism drug phenformin. Cancer Cell. 2013;23:143–58.
- Birsoy K, Possemato R, Lorbeer FK, Bayraktar EC, Thiru P, Yucel B, et al. Metabolic determinants of cancer cell sensitivity to glucose limitation and biguanides. Nature. 2014;508:108–12.
- 121. Zhang X, Fryknas M, Hernlund E, Fayad W, De Milito A, Olofsson MH, et al. Induction of mitochondrial dysfunction as a strategy for targeting tumour cells in metabolically compromised microenvironments. Nat Comm. 2014;5:3295–309.
- Viale A, Pettazzoni P, Lyssiotis CA, Ying H, Sánchez N, Marchesini M, et al. Oncogene ablation-resistant pancreatic cancer cells depend on mitochondrial function. Nature. 2014;514:628–32.
- 123. Durieux J, Wolff S, Dillin A. The cell-non-autonomous nature of electron transport chain-mediated longevity. Cell. 2011;144:79–91.
- Liang XH, Jackson S, Seaman M, Brown K, Kempkes B, Hibshoosh H, et al. Induction of autophagy and inhibition of tumorigenesis by beclin1. Nature. 1999;402:672–6.
- Qu X, Yu JJ, Bhagat G, Furuya N, Hibshoosh H, Troxel A, et al. Promotion of tumorigenesis by heterozygous disruption of the beclin 1 autophagy gene. J Clin Invest. 2003;112:1809–20.
- 126. Degenhardt K, Mathew R, Beaudoin B, Bray K, Anderson KL, Chen G, et al. Autophagy promotes tumor cell survival and restricts necrosis, inflammation and tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell. 2006;10:51–64.

- Laddha SV, Ganesan S, Chan CS, White E. Mutational landscape of the essential autophagy gene BECN1 in human cancers. Mol Cancer Res. 2014;12:485–90.
- Li L, Shen C, Nakamura E, Ando K, Signoretti S, Beroukhim R, et al. SQSTM1 is a pathogenic target of 5q copy number gains in kidney cancer. Cancer Cell. 2013;24:738–50.
- 129. Thompson HG, Harris JW, Wold BJ, Lin FT, Brody JP. p62 overexpression in breast tumors and regulation by prostate-derived Ets factor in breast cancer. Oncogene. 2003;22:2322–33.
- Duran A, Linares JF, Galvez AS, Wikenheiser K, Flores JM, Diaz-Meco MT, et al. The signaling adaptor p62 is an important NF-kB mediator in tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell. 2008;13:343–54.
- 131. Kuo WL, Sharifi MN, Lingen MW, Karrison T, Nagilla M, Ahmed O, et al. Macro-autophagy deficiency promotes resistance of squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck to phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and AKT inhibitors. PLoS One. 2014;9:e90171.
- 132. Chano T, Kontani K, Teramoto K, Okabe H, Ikegawa S. Truncating mutations of RB1CC1 in human breast cancer. Nat Gen. 2002;31:285–8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit